

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/717,263	11/19/2003	Joseph Ergun	79701	7723	
22242	7590 09/22/2004		EXAMINER		
	EN TABIN AND FLA LA SALLE STREET	SAN MARTIN, EDGARDO			
SUITE 1600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,	IL 60603-3406		2837		
			DATE MAILED: 00/22/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

?	7
ı	ル
•	IA.
7	1.0

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/717,2	63	ERGUN ET AL.			
		Examine		Art Unit			
			San Martin	2837			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ddress		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION mailed the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no ev to reply within the state and will apply and witatute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tin utory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	9 November 2	<u>003</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Qu	iayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	tion.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from co	nsideration.				
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election r	equirement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11)[2]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. No	ote the attached Office	Action or form P	IO-152.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	•	· · · ·)-(d) or (f).			
	 Certified copies of the priority docum Certified copies of the priority docum 			on No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p				Stane		
	application from the International But	•		a in this National	Otage		
* 9	See the attached detailed Office action for a			ed.			
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		O-152)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/19/03</u> . 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/717,263 Page 2

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

Oath/Declaration

1. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

The specification to which the oath or declaration is directed has not been adequately identified. See MPEP § 602.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1 – 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The Examiner could not find any thread of support in the Specification for the filed claims.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 10 recites the limitation "convey both data" in line 2. Since the claims to which claim 10 depend upon just discussed the existence of one data, the Examiner considers that the claim is incomplete.

NOTE: Since the claims raised 35 U.S.C. 112 first paragraph issues as discussed above, the Examiner will consider the priority date for the claims to be the filing date of the current US application, which is November 19, 2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 4. Claims 1 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Powder et al. (US 6,624,605).

Application/Control Number: 10/717,263 Page 4

Art Unit: 2837

Powder et al. teach all the limitations described in the claims (Col.2, Line 29 – Col.4, Line 13, Col.25, Lines 57 – 67, Col.26, Lines 4 – 11, 17 – 22, 25, 26, 31 – 34, 45 – 48, Col.27, Lines 9 – 17 and Col.28, Lines 11 – 14).

Contact Information

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edgardo San Martin whose telephone number is (571) 272-2074. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin can be reached on (571) 272-2107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edgardo San Martín Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2837

Class 318

September 17, 2004